

Applicant continues to assert allowability of Claims 16-20 drawn to a VCSEL for purposes of further prosecution in a divisional, continuation, continuation-in-part application or otherwise.

Applicant does not traverse the Restriction.

No change to inventorship is made necessary by the Election.

**REMARKS**

Restriction to one of the following species of invention was required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-15, drawn to a method of forming a VCSEL; and
- II. Claims 16-20, drawn to a VCSEL.

Applicant does not traverse the Restriction, yet Applicant continues to assert allowability of Claims 16-20 drawn to the VCSEL for further prosecution in a divisional, continuation, continuation-in-part application or otherwise. No change to inventorship is required by the Election. It is believed that the foregoing is a complete response to the Restriction. If anything further is required hereon, please telephone Applicant's United States Attorney at the number set forth below.

Courtesy, cooperation and skill of Examiner MENEFEE are acknowledged and appreciated.

Dated: New York, New York  
May 2, 2005

Respectfully,

HART BAXLEY DANIELS & HOLTON

By: *Charles E. Baxley*  
Charles E. Baxley  
Attorney of Record  
USPTO Reg. 20,149  
90 John Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10038  
Telephone: (212) 791-7200  
Facsimile: (212) 791-7276  
E-mail: [ceb@hartbaxley.com](mailto:ceb@hartbaxley.com)

CEB:mmm/13940 B